UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,461	01/03/2007	Sonia Escaich	BJS-1721-126	9313
23117 <b>NIXON &amp; VA</b>	7590 08/04/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	GANGLE, BRIAN J		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Арр	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/5	594,461		ESCAICH, SONIA				
		Exa	miner		Art Unit				
			n J. Gangle		1645				
<i> The I</i> Period for Repl	MAILING DATE of this commun Y	ication appears o	on the cover shee	et with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress			
WHICHEVE - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIOR THE	AILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU in no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) the application to becom	JNICATION ay a reply be time MONTHS from the ne ABANDONED	bly filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Resno	onsive to communication(s) file	nd on 26 Senter	ther 2006						
· <u> </u>									
<i>′</i> =	<del>/</del>								
·—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of (	·	·	•	,					
·		nnlication							
<i>'</i> —	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	(s) is/are objected to.								
•	(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or electic	on requirement						
	s) 1-20 are subject to restrict	on and/or election	in requirement.						
Application Pa	pers								
9)∐ The sp	ecification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)∏ The dra	awing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accepted	or b)☐ objected	to by the E	xaminer.				
Applica	ant may not request that any object	ction to the drawir	g(s) be held in abe	eyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replac	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∏ The oa	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
Notice of Draf     Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //ail Date	PTO-948)	Paper 5) Notice	iew Summary ( No(s)/Mail Dat e of Informal Pa ———·					

Art Unit: 1645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 7-11 and 27 are drawn to non-statutory inventions under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claims 7-11 appear to be drawn to compositions and are being treated as such for the purposes of this restriction. Claim 27 appears to be drawn to methods of treatment and prevention of infection and is being treated as such for the purposes of this restriction. If applicant amends these claims to read otherwise, they will be placed in the appropriate group at that time.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, 20, and 28, drawn to compositions of polypeptides.

Group II, claim(s) 7-19, drawn to compositions of polynucleotides, vectors, and host cells comprising said vectors.

Group III, claim(s) 22, drawn to a vaccine comprising a composition of polypeptides and an additional component directed against other bacteria.

Group IV, claim(s) 23-25, drawn to compositions of antibodies specific to antigens of extraintestinal *E. coli* strains.

Group V, claim(s) 26, drawn to compositions comprising antibodies specific to antigens of extraintestinal *E. coli* strains and antibodies against *Staphylococcus aureus* or Group B *Streptococcus*.

Group VI, claim(s) 27, drawn to methods of treatment of Expec infection using antibodies specific to antigens of extra-intestinal *E. coli* strains.

Group VII, claim(s) 27, drawn to methods of prevention of Expec infection using antibodies specific to antigens of extra-intestinal *E. coli* strains.

## **Sequence Restriction Requirement Applicable to All Groups**

In addition, each Group detailed above reads on patentably distinct combinations of sequences. Each combination is patentably distinct because they are combinations of polypeptides/polynucleotides with biochemical and immunological properties and a further restriction is applied to each Group. Applicant must further elect a specific combination of SEQ ID NOs appropriate to the Group chosen

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected combination of sequences and this should not be construed as a species election.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the Groups is a combination of antigenic polypeptides that are specific to B2/D *E. coli* strains.

However, Escaich (WO 03/074553 A2, 2003; IDS filed 9/26/2006) disclose compositions comprising combination of antigenic polypeptides that are specific to B2/D *E. coli* strains (see abstract).

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-VII does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the art.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1645

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,461 Page 5

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Gangle whose telephone number is (571)272-1181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Mondesi can be reached on 571-272-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian J Gangle/ Examiner, Art Unit 1645 /Robert B Mondesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1645